

Thank President, Facilitators + NV co-facilitators for presence today.

Support EV Statement. UK ready to engage to find consensual outcome.

Wish to highlight some key areas.

D) Situations of concern. - ~~will be~~

Ability of HRC to respond in a timely manner to situations of concern will always be the yardstick by which its success is measured.

Therefore it is imperative that we use the review to do whatever we can to enhance the Council's capacity to react to situations of concern.

Whether these are thematic, or relate to specific countries. In that context,

Not able to accept any reduced ability to address HRC issues during the year + therefore can not support the proposed reduction from

3 regular sessions to 2 sessions unless ^{we} you are able to provide safeguard in the proposed VPR sessions which would allow us to at least maintain the same level of focus as presently exists.

E) On VPR

a) We note with some concern the inclusion of the current ^{wording} on technical assistance.

- While this an important element for states, the wording in the compilation disrupts the delicate balance in the IB package on what should form the basis for the review.

b) As we have said before ~~as~~ we are not convinced of the need to extend the VPR cycle beyond 4 years. VPR

has to date been the Council's flagship innovation, and should be protected.

We also have some concern that the text of debate relating to the OHCHR¹'s is overly prescriptive, whilst the wording relating to states does not go far, particularly on providing a written response to recommendations prior to adoption at the HRC.

We also wish to repeat our support for Category A status National Human Rights Institutions.

On Special Procedures:

- we regard that the compilation did not go much further in requiring greater co-operation by States. We continue to believe that lack of co-operation is the main problem which affect the system of special procedures & believe ^{the} ~~your~~ compilation should address this.

- The compilation document also does not propose good solutions for the weaknesses in the Advisory Committee or Complaints process, which are both in need of serious change if they are to be made effective.

For the Advisory Committee our minimum expectation is that the number of experts is reduced, while the complaint mechanism requires fundamental change

Finally, we wish to request ^{urgent} clarification on the financial implications of the proposed changes, including those to VPs.

- We need to reserve our position on the ~~proposal~~ suggestion ~~B~~ relating to the Office of the President until we have clarity about the cost involved.

Thank you.